In Recognition and Appreciation Guest and Invited Reviewers—1993

The quality of papers and reports that appear in this journal is a tribute not only to the obvious efforts of the authors, but also to the unheralded, though essential, efforts of the reviewers. It is the reviewers' dedication to upholding the high standards of their profession and of published work to which this recognition pays tribute. We acknowledge with our thanks the individuals listed below who served as guest/invited reviewers for Volume 38 of this journal. Their efforts, along with those of the Editorial Board, contribute to the success and quality of this publication.

F. Samuel Baechtel David Bigbee Merritt Birky

Robert D. Blackledge Edward T. Blake Bruce Budowle Martin Buoncristiani Ellen G. I. Clark Catherine T. Comey Robin W. Cotton Douglas Degaetano William Dietz James I. Ebert Leslie E. Edinboro Henry A. Erlich Ian W. Evett John M. Finor Daniel D. Garner Mark Germani

M. Lee Goff
Christopher Green
William D. Haglund
Lee Ann Hayek
Roy Hazelwood
William Lee Hearn
Berch Henry
Julia D. Hertzler
Alan R. Jackson
Richard L. Jantz
Graham R. Jones

H. Gil King

Kenneth W. Goddard

Thomas A. Kubic Frederick W. Kutz Marshall Levine Patrick J. Lincoln Wayne Lord William T. Lowry Thomas McAlexander

Bruce McCord Barry L. Mones Jeffrey W. Morris Herbert F. Polesky R. J. Prime John A. Reffner Emily G. Reisner William C. Rodriguez William Rosenbluth Gualberto Ruano Joseph J. Saady Robert C. Shaler Herbert Silver Kris Sperry David Steadman Mark J. Stephan William Stokes John I. Thornton Patricia Tippett Edward Tulley Dennis Ward

Bruce Weir Mark Wilson

Vickie W. Watts

Victor W. Weedn

Errata

In the March 1993 issue, a misprint occurred. Table 4 of Mincer et al. p. 384 should have appeared as follows.

TABLE 4—Empirical probabilities (%) of an individual being at least 18 years of age based on the grade of third molar formation.^a

Group	Grade of Formation				
	D	Е	F	G	H
		Maxil	lla		
Males	15.9	27.8	44.0	46.8	85.3
Females	9.7	28.4	50.4	63.3	89.6
		Mandi	ble		
Males	6.1	29.4	40.5	56.0	90.1
Females	11.3	27.4	43.2	69.8	92.2

^aValues are based just on whites. Probabilities for the terminal grade (H) presume that, based on other criteria, the subject is less than 25 years of age.